Indonesia 2045: The Political Economy of the Possible

M. Chatib Basri

### **University of Indonesia**

Presented at the Australian National University

Nov 13, 2023

1

# Background

- Indonesia experienced a complex and difficult reform, and yet arguably Indonesia has made significant progress.
- In the last ten years, as the economy has grown by an average of 5% per year
- Per capita income has increased from approximately \$1,222 in 2004 to \$4580 in 2022.
- This growth has pushed Indonesia into the group of middleincome countries.
- Asia's economy, including Indonesian economy is predicted to play a major role in the future (Wilson and Purushotaman (2003); Buiter and Rahbari (2011)
- However, there is a risk of Middle Income Trap (Felipe, 2012)



# Questions to be addressed

- Will Indonesia be able to accelerate its transition from middle- to high-income status by 2045
- What can be done given the political and institutional constraints? The political economy of the possible

## Indonesia's evolution of relative income: steady but slow Relative income to the US (in PPP basis) rom 1960-2011

- Indonesia doubled its relative income percapita compared to 1970. But it took 40 years!
- Similar pattern with middle income countries in Southeast Asia, except Philippines
- 1997 Financial Crisis had a devastating impact



# Demographic dividend 2025, but aging population begin in 2050



### TABLE 1

### SUMMARY OF DEPENDENCY AND ECONOMIC SUPPORT RATIOS, ASIA AND NEAR EAST COUNTRIES, 2000, 2025, AND 2050

	Total dependency ratio		Child d	Child dependency ratio			Old age dependency ratio			_	Economic support ratio			
	2000	2025	2050	2000	2025	2050		2000	2025	2050		2000	2025	2050
East Asia	0.462	0.474	0.649	0.349	0.265	0.266		0.113	0.210	0.383		0.761	0.675	0.575
South East Asia	0.568	0.460	0.570	0.494	0.336	0.308		0.074	0.124	0.262		0.709	0.705	0.661
South Asia	0.649	0.472	0.522	0.573	0.360	0.306		0.076	0.112	0.216	1	0.658	0.660	0.623
Japan	0.468	0.673	0.838	0.217	0.226	0.254		0.250	0.447	0.583		0.637	0.582	0.545
South Korea	0.393	0.477	0.678	0.299	0.252	0.270		0.094	0.226	0.417		0.647	0.622	0.564
Philippines	0.676	0.458	0.521	0.615	0.353	0.305		0.061	0.105	0.216		0.677	0.672	0.649
Thailand	0.450	0.453	0.660	0.366	0.274	0.278		0.084	0.178	0.382		0.787	0.728	0.653
Indonesia	0.546	0.456	0.573	0.473	0.333	0.313		0.073	0.123	0.260		0.683	0.695	0.652
Bangladesh	0.622	0.428	0.523	0.569	0.344	0.309		0.052	0.084	0.213		0.753	0.761	0.728
India	0.620	0.459	0.531	0.540	0.336	0.300		0.081	0.123	0.232		0.641	0.638	0.601

Source: Mason, Lee, Russo (2000)

# Growing old before becoming rich?



6

# We must accelerate our GDP growth.

### TO ACHIEVE THE OBJECTIVE OF THE GOLDEN INDONESIA 2045 : BIG AND PERSISTENT EFFORT

### TARGETS OF ECONOMIC TRANSFORMATION FOR INDONESIA GOLD 2045

Economic transformation aims to lift Indonesia out of the middle-income trap and into a high-income country. For this reason, the Indonesian economy must achieve an average growth of 6-7% in the next 20 years, high economic growth that is inclusive and sustainable



Source: Preliminary Draft RPJPN 2025-2045, May 19 2023

## Consumption-driven growth is outpacing investmentdriven growth.



Source: CEIC, CReco Calculation

# Can we grow faster than 6%??

- ICOR=6.8 (investment/GDP ratio) (2016-22)
- Every additional 1% GDP growth will necessitate a 6.8% of I/GDP
- 6-7% GDP growth will require I/GDP : 40.8%-47.6%
- S=Indonesia's Gross Domestic Savings/GDP: is 37% (2016-22)
- Thus Current Account Deficit (CA) > 3%.
- Countries having CA Deficits > 3 3% that are financed by portfolio are more vulnerable to capital flow volatility (historical experiences in EM including Indonesia
- Policy options: improve productivity (lower ICOR): human capital, infrastructure, governance; FDI in export oriented sector —> business climate; increase S= Tax/GDP





Average. Data 1998-99 and 2020 are not included (outliar due to crisis)

### Indonesia: Gross Domestic Savings VS Investment needs

# Policy continuity or change?

- Shifting towards high growth cannot happen instantly without jeopardizing macro-stability. It has to go through the supply side (Improve productivity) to reduce ICOR;
- Supply side: investing in human capital: education, health; short-term and long term
- Improvement in governance (institutional reform)
- Infrastructure development (logistics, ICT)
- Financing: increase tax ratio/GDP; FDI; External Financing
- Environment for knowledge exchange: labor market, creativity, openness to FDI
- Managing political process



# The importance of export sector

### Comovements between Innovations in Private Consumption with Innovations of GDP Components<sup>a</sup>

	Components of GDP					
Lags	Government consumption	Gross fixed capital formation	Exports			
0	0.12	0.06	0.24			
-1	-0.16	-0.04	-0.27			
-2	-0.22	-0.01	-0.41			
-3	0.26	-0.07	0.29			
-4	0.20	-0.13	0.49			

Source: Estimated from BPS National Account

<sup>a</sup> Comovements between innovations of each component derived from original data that spans from 2000-I to 2008-IV. Here growth is expressed as annual (year-to-year) growth

# Export volatility and concentration



## Indonesia made slow progress in export diversification; especially during the commodity boom; Dutch Disease?



ource: Bank Indonesia and authors' calculation using UN-Comtrade data. Classification ; based on Lall (2000)

# Terms of Trade effect

### Indonesia : GDP growth and Terms of Trade

GDP Growth (%,Y) and Terms of Trade (Index 2000=100,X): Indonesia



### Indonesia: Terms of Trade and Primary Fiscal Balance to GDP

### Indonesia: Terms of Trade and Primary Fiscal Balance to GDP



# Indonesia could do more in promoting new export



# Development challenges are beyond macro-stability

		Brazil	China	India	Indonesia	Malaysia	Philippines	Thailand	Vietnam
Characteristics (2013)									
	Population (person mn)	200.4	1,357.4	1,252.1	249.9	29.7	98.4	67.0	89.7
	GDP Per Capita (in PPP, USD)	5,823	3,583	1,165	1,810	6,998	1,581	3,438	1,029
	Density (person/sq km)	24.0	144.6	421.1	137.9	90.4	330.0	131.2	289.3
Red	al Exchange Rate Movement (%)								
	2003-2013 (average	68.3	31.4	-0.3	6.4	2.5	39.2	25.0	50.9
PIS	A Test Score (2012)								
	Math	391	613	351*	375	421		427	511
	Science	406	580	348*	382	420		438	528
Broadband Penetration									
	Fixed (wired)-broadband								
	subscriptions per 100 inhabitants	10.08	13.36	1.16	1.3	8.22	9.12	7.36	5.62
	(2013)								
Logistics Performance Index (2014)		2.94	3.53	3.08	3.08	3.59	3.00	3.43	3.15
Percentage of shipment met quality		82	76	67	70	97	71	83	76
Go	vernment effectiveness score	-0.08	-0.03	-0.19	-0.24	1.10	0.06	0.21	-0.30

\* Tamil Nadu dan Himachal Pradesh

Sources: World Bank, ITU, BIIS and others

## Just Energy Transitior

- Weathering the transitional risks
- Financing the green economy \$28.5 bn to achieve NDC
- Limited fiscal capability
- Getting the price right
- Climate finance:
  - -Domestic Resources
  - Mobilization (Green Fiscal Policy)
  - -External Financing:
  - MDB,s, Concession loans, SDR,
  - -Private Sector and
  - philantrophy
  - Basel reform (Triggs)
- Impact on Macroeconomic (Current Account, exchange rate etc)



### Budget Strategies: mobilize revenue and improve quality spending

- Increase Tax Rates?
- Administrative reform
- Reduce Tax exemption
- Review Tax Expenditure: post audit
- Case study Indonesia: Basri, Felix, Hanna and Olken (2021) shows that developing country governments can increase tax revenue through both enhanced administration and increases in tax rates. But they also imply, at least in the case of medium-sized firms, that **improving administration** can have a particularly dramatic effect in increasing revenues.



Note: feasibility includes administrative capability, time frame, political economy

### **Revenue Strategies**

## Allocate: Align Investment Choices with Country Needs

### **Current Expenditure**

- Focus on quality of spending
- Review effectiveness of subsidies: case of fossil fuel subsidy; the richest 20% of households receive six times more benefits in fuel subsidies than the poorest 20%. Reduce fuel subsidies and use the savings to fund social assistance programs (Basri, Hanna, Olken, 2020)

### Capital Expenditure: Cost effectiven

- Investment on health; Education (short term vs long term); political cycle
- Investment Infrastructure (impact; political economy perspective)
- Digital technology to boost job (youth unemployment); Reskilling and up-skilling
- Ear marking: use of natural resource revenues for longerterm benefits, such as human development. The results are mixed (OECD, 2017); concerns including :
  - Iimits budgetary flexibility

# Managing Political Economy



### "We all know what to do, we just don't know how to get re-elected after we've done it. "

Jean Claude-Juncker, President of EU

# Middle class: Rising expectation

	The middle poor or vul	e class comprises those who ha nerable in the future, given thei	ve less than a 10 percent char r current consumption.	ice of being	
Class	Poor	Vulnerable	Aspiring Middle Class	Middle Class	Upper Class
Household status	Below national poverty line (PL)	>10 percent chance of being poor next year (1.0-1.5xPL)	<10 percent chance of being poor but >10 percent chance of being vulnerable (1.5-3.5xPL)	<10 percent chance of being poor or vulnerable (3.5-17xPL)	>17xPL
Per capita consumption	<rp 354k<="" td=""><td>Rp 354-532k</td><td>Rp 532k-1.2m</td><td>Rp 1.2-6.0n</td><td>&gt;Rp 6n</td></rp>	Rp 354-532k	Rp 532k-1.2m	Rp 1.2-6.0n	>Rp 6n
—Rp is Monthly US\$* is daily	<us\$2.20< td=""><td>US\$2.20-3.30</td><td>US\$3.30-7.75</td><td>US\$7.75-38</td><td>&gt;US\$38</td></us\$2.20<>	US\$2.20-3.30	US\$3.30-7.75	US\$7.75-38	>US\$38
				BUB-GROUPS: NC 1 (Rp 1.2-3.2m; US\$7.75-20); NC 2 (Rp 3.2-6.0m; US\$20-38)	
Note: Daily per capin measured in US\$PPP, w adjusted for purchasi across countries.	a consumption is which are US dollars ing power parity	Source: Susenas and 1 calculations.	forld Bank		
FIGURE E.5	Consun	nption distribution by	class, 2002-16		



100

### Source: World Bank, 2018





# Will the Chilean paradox play out in Indonesia?

Figure 1 GDP per capita, selected Latin American countries, 1980-2019







Source IDB







- Chile experienced rapid economic growth
- The fastest reduction of poverty and inequality in the region.
- Many Chilean citizens feel that inequality has greatly increased.
- 'Malestar' triggered the violent social unrest of October 2019.



## Chilean Paradox

- Vertical vs horizontal inequality
- Narrative and perception
- Expectation vs reality (speed)

Source: Edwards, 2023

Table 1 Chile and the OECD's Better Life Index

Sub Index	Chile's ranking in sample	Highest-ranked Latin
	of 40 nations	American country in category
Housing	25	Chile
Income	35	Chile
Jobs	31	Mexico
Community	37	Brazil
Education	35	Chile
Environment	32	Brazil
Civic engagement	40	Brazil
Health	29	Brazil
Life satisfaction	21	Mexico
Safety	35	Chile
Work-life balance	34	Brazil

Source: OECD Better Life Index

# Indonesia: Youth Unemployment and the 2019 Election



Unemployment +underemployment







Presidential Exit Vote based on Education

Young and educated unemployed have continued to increase 2014-2018, they feel dissatisfied with government

# No Viral no Justice?

- Can Middle class play a role for reform or social change?
- Case Sambo; Saiful Mahdi (Amnesty); corruption in tax and custom office etc.



Survei Nasional, 13-21 Agustus 22



## Indonesia: Governance Indicators









### Source: Economist Intelligence Unit

# The Political Economy of the possible

- Economists are usually blame 'politics' or 'institutional failure' vs how to incentivize politicians to support reform (economically sound and politically sound):
- Domestic politics, geo political tension, global trend, climate change issues —> inward looking vs outward looking; tug of war will continue
- The political economy of the possible: how to work within constraints
- Reform is often been associated with significant impacts, but resources, time horizon and political support are often limited. Thus Pick your own battle, work within constraints. Create success story, building political credibility, move to more difficult target. While simultaneously try to achieve best practices
- Best fit in the short-run, best practice in the long-run
- Focus on problems, being flexible on solutions, not the other way around
- Ownership of the reform is the key
- Managing the expectation, the importance of media and communications with stakeholders
- Institutionalizing reform

# Thank you